

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/595,596		RODGERS ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Darren W. Ark		3643	

All Participants:

(1) Darren W. Ark.

(2) Andrew N. Parfomak.

Date of Interview: 3 February 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior art of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Darren W. Ark/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3643

Status of Application: After Allowance

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 10:00am EST

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner stated that the length of the Abstract filed on 04/28/2006 extended to more than one page and that the language would need to be shortened such that it is a single paragraph on one page. Examiner proposed a new abstract to meet the requirements of MPEP 608.01(b). Applicant agreed with the proposed amendment to the Abstract. Please see the Examiner's Amendment for details.